

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,679	08/31/2001	Douglas J. Pearson	10017718-1	3775
7590 02/09/2005		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			CHUONG, TRUC T	
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ART ONT	TATER NOWIDER
			2179	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
A	09/944,679	PEARSON, DOUGLAS J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Truc T Chuong	2179
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reunication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty intory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on <u>21 January 2005</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic	·	
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 18-36 is/are pendition 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 18-36 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•	• • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	" 	(0.70, 440)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

1. This communication is responsive to RCE, filed 01/21/05.

2. Claims 1-10, and 18-36 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 18, 27, and 34 are independent claims. In the communication, all independent claims are amended, and claims 11-

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

17 are cancelled. This action is made non-final.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-10, and 18-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miyahara et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,314,213 B1).

As to claim 1, Miyahara teaches a user interface configured to execute upon initiation of a printing operation from a software application, the user interface comprising an actuatable icon representing a shadow direction for an object to be rendered that when actuated actuates the rendering of a shadow having the shadow direction from the rendered object when printed onto a print media without applying the shadow to the object within the software application (setting shadow directions for objects, images, or characters using the printer/copy/fax displayed panel,

or control from the computer screen, e.g., col. 14 lines 32-48, col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, col. 17 lines 14-55, and figs. 13-14, 16-17).

As to claim 2, Miyahara teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 1, further comprising an actuatable icon representing a shadow length for the rendered shadow that when actuated actuates the rendered shadow having the shadow length (e.g., col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, and figs. 16-17).

As to claim 3, Miyahara teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 1, wherein the actuatable icon is a menu item on a menu (e.g., fig. 16).

As to claim 4, Miyahara teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 3, wherein the menu item is a numerical expression (e.g., col. 14 lines 32-58, and figs. 13, 16-17).

As to claims 5, Miyahara teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 4, wherein the numerical expression is includes one or more of degrees and radians (showing angle, radius, and degree, etc. e.g., col. 14 lines 32-58, col. 15 lines 18-67, and figs. 13, 16-17).

As to claim 6, Miyahara teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 3, wherein the menu item is a compass point direction (e.g., col. 14 lines 32-58, col. 15 lines 18-67, and figs. 13, 16-17).

As to claim 7, Miyahara teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 3, wherein the rendered object having the shadow direction is rendered upon the menu (e.g., col. 14 lines 32-48, col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, col. 17 lines 14-55, and figs. 13-14, 16-17).

As to claim 8, Miyahara teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 7, further comprising a print actuation icon that when actuated actuates the printing of shadowed objects having the shadow direction (e.g., figs. 13-14, 16-17).

As to claim 9, Miyahara teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 8, wherein a word processor software application actuates the display of the menu (e.g., col. 14 lines 32-48, col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, col. 17 lines 14-55, and figs. 13-14, 16-17).

As to claim 10, Miyahara teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 7, wherein the printing operation is selected from the group consisting of printing (e.g., col. 17 lines 51-55, figs. 2-6), magnetic tape recording, photo imaging substrate recording, and magneto optical storage device writing.

As to claims 18-25, they are method claims of system claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 3, and 10. Note the rejections of claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 3, and 10 above respectively.

As to claim 26, it is a computer program product claim of method claim 18. Note the rejection of claim 18 above.

As to claim 27, Miyahara teaches a shadow rendering system comprising:

a display device (e.g., col. 14 lines 32-48, col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, and figs. 3-6, 13-14, 16-17);

a host computer in electrical communication with the display device and in response to a print function (e.g., col. 14 lines 32-48, col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, col. 17 lines 14-55, and figs. 13-14, 16-17), performing a demand print application program including the steps of:

serving a user interface for display as a menu item on the menu, the icon representing a selection of a shadow direction for the predetermined object (e.g., col. 14 lines 32-48, col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, col. 17 lines 14-55, and figs. 13-14, 16-17); and upon the actuation of said menu item:

executing an application program on the host computer to calculate a rendering of a shadow having the appearance of being cast from the rendered predetermined object in the selected shadow direction (e.g., col. 14 lines 32-48, col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, col. 17 lines 14-55, and figs. 13-14, 16-17); and

Page 5

displaying the calculated shadow rendering as being cast from the rendered predetermined object in the selected shadow direction (e.g., col. 14 lines 32-48, col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, col. 17 lines 14-55, and figs. 13-14, 16-17).

As to claim 28, Miyahara teaches the system as defined in claim 27, wherein:

the shadow rendered by the application program executing on the host computer has a default length (e.g., fig. 16); and

the demand print application program further includes the steps of:

displaying a second actuatable icon on the menu as a second menu item representing a selectable shadow length for the rendered shadow (after the object has been chosen the direction in fig. 16, the object will change in fig. 17 with different directions, e.g., col. 14 lines 32-48, col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, col. 17 lines 14-55, and figs. 13-14, 16-17); and

upon the actuation of said second menu item, said application program executing on the host computer further performing the step of rendering the shadow having the selected shadow length (e.g., col. 14 lines 32-48, col. 15 line 40-col. 16 line 2, col. 17 lines 14-55, and figs. 13-14, 16-17).

As to claims 29-33, they are similar in scope to claims 4-6, 8, and 10 above; therefore, rejected under similar rationale.

As to claims 34-36, they are computer program product claims of system claims 1, 8, and 7. Note the rejections of claims 1, 8, and 7 above respectively.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Okahashi (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,108) teaches printer, shadow directions, GUI, and actuated icons on a printer panel (cols. 2-22 and figs. 6-37B).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 571-272-4134. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R. Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. Chuong

02/05/05

PRIMARY EXAMINER